

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,279	03/01/2004	David Dino	EL-8182	9542
23911 75	590 12/05/2005		EXAM	INER
CROWELL & MORING LLP			TUCKER, PHILIP C	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/790,279	DINO, DÁVID				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Philip C. Tucker	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relief iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. EANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 15-21</u> is/are pending in the	ne application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-10,12,13 and 15-21</u> is/are rejected	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12,13 and 15-21</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to t	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not i	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10, 12, 13 and 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 02/12414 in view of Chesser (6933262).

WO '414 teaches that such starch may comprise "at least 30%", and teaches corn which comprises about 70%, which would render the "at least 50%" and "at least 70%" of the present invention obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art (column 3, lines 8-21). WO teaches that the starch may be crosslinked (see claim 6). WO '414 further teaches that the starch may be stabilized by means known in the art, including chemical modification (page 5, lines 20-25 and column 4, lines 12-16). WO '414 differs from the present invention in that the use of carboxymethyl or hydroxypropyl groups as substituents is not disclosed. Chesser teaches that starches may be substituted (derivatized) with carboxymethyl or hydroxypropyl groups, which renders tham inherently nonfermentable (column 3, lines 13-34). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further stabilize the starches of WO '414 by carboxymethyl or hydroxypropyl substitution, given the teaching of Chesser that such stabilizes the starche to fermentation, and in view of the teaching of WO '414 that the starches may be

Application/Control Number: 10/790,279 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

stabilized by known means in the art. Applicant's method of making, such as in claims 5 and 13 does not distinguish over the prior art (In re Thorpe 227 USPQ 964).

3. Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip C. Tucker whose telephone number is 571-272-1095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, Flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

hilip C Tucker

Application/Control Number: 10/790,279

Art Unit: 1712

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

PCT-3889

Page 4